

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/043,518	01/11/2002	Kenneth R. Spencer SR.	00-1303	8268
75	90 03/25/2003			
Kaardal & Associates, PC Attn: Ivar M. Kaardal Suite 250			EXAMINER	
			ROWAN, KURT C	
3500 South Firs Sioux Falls, SD			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
oloun Tulio, ob	37103 3002		3643	M
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~ · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/
Advisory Action	10/043,518	SPENCER ET AL.	
navicery neuen	Examiner	Art Unit	1
	Bethany L. Griles	3643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED 26 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whic d (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply the places the application in the places the application in the properties	o a on in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire! ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. So R 1.136(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Of	ee MPEP riate extension riate extension fice action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe		
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simp	olifying the
<ul><li>(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancelin</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed an	nendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT p	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were n	iewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			an t
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: 20			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>i-/9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examine	r.
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen     Other:	it	PETER M. POON	3-
Delegational Trademark Office		SORY PATENT EXAMINE IOLOGY CENTER 3600	:K

Application No. 10/043,518

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: claims 8-15 are dependent on a canceled claim. Entering the amendment would require rewriting of the final rejection.